

# 2016 Major Automated Information System Annual Report



# **Defense Agencies Initiative Increment 2 (DAI Inc 2)**

Defense Acquisition Management Information Retrieval (DAMIR)

# **Table of Contents**

Common Acronyms and Abbreviations for MAIS Programs	
Program Information	4
Responsible Office	4
References	4
Program Description	5
Business Case	6
Program Status	7
Schedule	8
Performance	9
Cost	11

# **Common Acronyms and Abbreviations for MAIS Programs**

Acq O&M - Acquisition-Related Operations and Maintenance

ADM - Acquisition Decision Memorandum

AoA - Analysis of Alternatives

ATO - Authority To Operate

APB - Acquisition Program Baseline

BY - Base Year

CAE - Component Acquisition Executive

CDD - Capability Development Document

**CPD** - Capability Production Document

DAE - Defense Acquisition Executive

DoD - Department of Defense

DoDAF - DoD Architecture Framework

FD - Full Deployment

FDD - Full Deployment Decision

FY - Fiscal Year

IA - Information Assurance

IATO - Interim Authority to Operate

ICD - Initial Capability Document

IEA - Information Enterprise Architecture

IOC - Initial Operational Capability

IP - Internet Protocol

IT - Information Technology

**KPP - Key Performance Parameter** 

\$M - Millions of Dollars

MAIS - Major Automated Information System

MAIS OE - MAIS Original Estimate

MAR - MAIS Annual Report

MDA - Milestone Decision Authority

MDD - Materiel Development Decision

MILCON - Military Construction

MS - Milestone

N/A - Not Applicable

O&S - Operating and Support

OSD - Office of the Secretary of Defense

PB - President's Budget

RDT&E - Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation

SAE - Service Acquisition Executive

TBD - To Be Determined

TY - Then Year

U.S.C- United States Code

USD(AT&L) - Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, & Logistics

UNCLASSIFIED

3

# **Program Information**

#### **Program Name**

Defense Agencies Initiative Increment 2 (DAI Inc 2)

#### **DoD Component**

DoD

The acquiring DoD Component is the Defense Logistics Agency (DLA).

# **Responsible Office**

#### **Program Manager**

Mr. Rick McClary
5611 Columbia Pike (Suffolk Building)

Falls Church, VA 22041

Ricky.McClary@dla.mil

**Phone:** 703-681-2358

Fax: 703-681-2436 DSN Phone: 312-761-2358

**DSN Fax:** 312-761-2436

Date Assigned: October 14, 2014

# References

#### **MAIS Original Estimate**

February 8, 2015

#### Approved APB

Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology & Logistics) Approved Acquisition Program Baseline (APB) dated February 8, 2015

### **Program Description**

The Defense Agencies Initiative's (DAI) mission is to deliver auditable accurate, timely, authoritative financial data to support the Department of Defense (DoD) goal of standardizing financial management practices, improving financial decision support, and supporting audit readiness. Currently, defense agencies use more than 10 different non-compliant financial management systems supporting diverse operational functions and the warfighter in decision making and financial reporting. These disparate, non-integrated systems do not meet Chief Financial Officer Act requirements to produce timely, auditable reports.

The DAI program modernizes the defense agencies' financial management processes by streamlining financial management capabilities, addressing financial reporting material weaknesses, and supporting financial statement auditability for the majority of agencies and field activities across the DoD. DAI will support a transformation of budget, finance, and accounting processes across participating defense agencies to help improve the quality of financial information, supporting financial auditability and decision making. The DAI Increment (Inc) 2 business solution, as implemented, provides a near real-time, web-based system of integrated business processes and enables more than 26,451 defense agency financial managers, program managers, auditors, and Defense Finance and Accounting Service representatives to make sound financial business decisions. The DAI Inc 2 acquisition strategy is tailored to enable a series of annual in Process Reviews (IPRs) in lieu of typical milestone decision reviews. The most recent successful review granted the program a Limited Fielding Decision (LFD) on September 16, 2015 to field additional capability to new and existing users.

DAI Inc 1 (Oracle E-Business Suite 11i) was operational at 12 defense agencies until May 4, 2015 when it was replaced with an application upgrade to Oracle e-Business Suite Release (Rel) 12.2.3 (R12) under DAI Inc 2. The upgrade to R12 enabled critical Commercial Off the Shelf (COTS) functionality: time and labor process automation and procure-to-pay efficiencies. Future releases will focus on budget formulation, direct treasury disbursing, re-sale accounting and defense working capital fund accounting.

DAI Inc 2 is currently deployed to 17 defense agencies with 6 planned. At full deployment DAI Inc 2 will have approximately 49.593 users.

#### **Business Case**

Business Case Analysis, including the Analysis of Alternatives: Key functional requirements for DAI are based on the approved CDD signed on September 27, 2010 and the DAI Increment 2 Business Case approved at MS B on April 18, 2014.

An AoA was conducted in November 2006 that evaluated the status quo and the then-existing Defense Agencies Financial Management Systems. This AoA remains valid. During FY 2013, DLA consulted with McKinsey, Oracle and the Defense Agencies to obtain financial management performance benchmarks regarding an upgrade of the DAI system to Oracle E-Business Suite Release 12 (R12). It revealed that there would be a positive return on investment with the R12 upgrade and two new inherent R12 capabilities: time and labor process automation, and procure-to-pay efficiency through the contract life-cycle management module.

In an ADM dated September 23, 2013, the MDA established Increment 2 as a MAIS program to include budget formulation; grants financial management; re-sale accounting; and defense working capital fund accounting.

**Firm, Fixed-Price Feasibility:** The determination of contract types was based on the cost risk associated with the estimated cost of satisfying the requirement, not lack of clarity of the technical requirement. The MDA has approved cost-type contracts for use since development tasks are sufficiently complex and technically challenging that it is impossible to precisely estimate the cost of satisfying the requirements, and it is not practicable to reduce cost risk to a level that would permit the use of a fixed-price contract.

**Independent Cost Estimate:** The program has not experienced a Critical Change which would induce the independent cost estimate required by Title 10 United States Code § 2334(a)(6).

**Certification of Business Case Alignment; Explanation:** I certify that all technical and business requirements have been reviewed and validated to ensure alignment with the business case. This certification is based on my review of DAI Inc 2 MS B requirements from the following: CDD (Sep 2010), Business Case (Apr 2014), and the DAI Increment 2 Program Development and Fielding Decision ADM (Apr 2014).

#### **Business Case Certification:**

Name: Matthew Beebe

Organization: Defense Logistics Agency for DAI Inc 2

CAC Subject: CN=BEEBE.MATTHEW.RICHARD.1012725473, OU=DLA, OU=PKI, OU=DoD, O=U.S. Government, C=US

Date: 3/10/2015 04:20 PM

#### **Business Case Changes**

#### **Summary of Business Case Changes:**

There has been no significant change to the Business Case since it was last certified.

6

# **Program Status**

**Annual Report:** The program is substantially on track to remain within the schedule, cost and performance thresholds identified in the Original Estimate; there have been no Significant or Critical Changes (as defined by 10 U.S.C. Chapter 144A) reported since the previous MAIS Annual Report to Congress.

#### **Schedule**

Schedule Events				
Events	Original Estimate Objective	Current Estimate (Or Actual)		
MDD	Sep 2013	Sep 2013		
Preferred Alternative Selected <sup>1</sup>	Sep 2013	Sep 2013		
MS B - Development Release 1.0	Apr 2014	Apr 2014		
Limited Fielding Decision - Release 2.0 <sup>2</sup>	Jan 2016	Sep 2015		
FDD	Oct 2017	Oct 2017		
FD	TBD	Sep 2018		

#### Memo

1/ The Preferred Alternative Selected date established through the DAI Inc 2 ADM dated September 23, 2013. 2/ The DAI program is an incrementally fielded software intensive program comprised of multiple releases, rather than one formal MS C decision. Those actions required by statute at the MS C or equivalent decision, such as Clinger Cohen certification, were completed by the Rel 2 LFD.

#### **Acronyms and Abbreviations**

ADM - Acquisition Decision Memorandum

Inc - Increment

IPR - In Process Review

LFD - Limited Fielding Decision

MDD - Materiel Development Decision

MS - Milestone

Rel - Release

# **Performance**

Perforn	nance Characteristics	Current		
Original Estimate Objective/Threshold				
Financial System Performance (FFMIA Compliance) - Financial system performance is defined as the overall evaluation of the extent to which DAI meets FFMR in accordance with the 1996 FFMIA. 1				
Compliance	Program is substantially compliant with the FFMIA.	Will Meet		
	of 1996 based on the current version of the DFAS Instruction 7900.4 (Blue Book) in effect six months prior to the Milestone.	Objective.		
BEA Compliance - Compliance with the current release of the BEA. <sup>2</sup>	BEA version. Usually accomplished within 6 mo	nths of the		
Compliance	Program is substantially compliant with the BEA in effect six months before the milestone.	Will Meet Objective.		
operations of DoD Agencies to achieve accurat	ations: Transform the budget, finance, and acco e and reliable financial information in support of making throughout Defense Agencies in suppor	financial		
The DAI Increment 2 solution will provide 95% of the assigned baseline capabilities designated as critical with acceptable, documented workarounds and correctable system non- conformances.	The DAI Increment 2 solution will provide 90% of the assigned baseline capabilities designated as critical with acceptable, documented workarounds and correctable system non- conformances.	Will Meet Objective.		
NR-KPP - Managed in the Network: 1) Type of Networks that are connected: - The DAI application supports multiple Defense Agencies, and thus is accessible from multiple network points. A typical user accesses the application via the web browser from his/her agency specific LAN/WAN and/or local site firewall configurations, traversing through the NIPRNet to reach the secure DAI application hosted within the DMZ which is controlled and managed by DISA The DAI production application is hosted in a DISA DECC environment located in Ogden, Utah and is managed by DAI Program Management Office 2) MOPs to measure network entrance and management performance: a) Network related (DISA) – as per DISA Catalog of Services - Interactive Availability - Portion of network/system controlled by DISA CSD available to the partner during the interactive window - Batch Throughput – Completion rate and delivery by specified time during batch window specified in SLA b) Database related (DAI PMO) - System Availability - On Line user system response 3) Network Management: - The Agency (user) being supported is responsible for the communications infrastructure necessary for leaving their location to connect users to the NIPRNet - DISA is responsible for activities occurring within the application and the Oracle Database 4) Systems Management - NIPRNet and Infrastructure - Centralized within DISA CSD - DAI System – centralized within				
DAI PMO 5) Network Configuration Parameters				
The DAI must allow user roles and permission changes in less than 4 business hours after roles and permissions have been granted at least 95% of the time.	The DAI must allow user roles and permission changes in less than 1 business days after roles and permissions have been granted and entered into the UAM at least 90% of the time.	Will Meet Objective.		

# Enterprise, DFAS, Defense Agencies, and Federal Systems as documented in SV-6. Key MOPs for all critical interfaces in the SV-6: Timeliness and Accuracy. Threshold / Objective is as stated in SV-6.

DAI must be able to successfully process 98% of	DAI must be able to successfully process 95% of	Will Meet
(inbound/outbound) Intermediate Documents	(inbound/outbound) Intermediate Documents	Objective.

#### Memo

1/ Assessed through independent audit.

2/ Assessed through BEA compliance process; supports Defense Business Systems policy described in DoD Instruction 5000.02 Enclosure 12.

3/ Appendix A to the DAI Increment 2 Business Case includes the NR-KPP in addition to other performance measures for DAI Increment 2.

4/ KPP 1 and 2 correspond to the DAI program CDD dated September 27, 2010.

#### **Acronyms and Abbreviations**

BEA - Business Enterprise Architecture

CSD - Computing Services Directorate

DAI - Defense Agencies Initiative

DECC - Defense Enterprise Computing Center

DFAS - Defense Finance and Accounting Service

DISA - Defense Information Systems Agency

DMZ - DoD Demilitarized Zone

FFMIA - Federal Financial Management Improvement Act of 1996

IER - Information Exchange Requirement

KSA - Key System Attribute

LAN - Local Area Network

MOP - Measure of Performance

NIPRNet - Non-Classified Internet Protocol Routing Network

NR-KPP - Net Ready Key Performance Parameter

SLA - Service Level Agreement

SV-6 - Systems View - 6, "System Resource Matrix" (A DODAF View)

**UAM - User Account Management** 

WAN - Wide Area Network

## Cost

DAI Inc 2					
	BY 2014 \$M		TY \$M		
Appropriation Category	Original Estimate	Current Estimate Or Actual	Original Estimate	Current Estimate Or Actual	
Acquisition Cost					
RDT&E	147.1	153.9	155.3	157.9	
Procurement	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
MILCON	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Acq O&M	25.5	26.1	27.0	27.0	
Total Acquisition Cost	172.6	180.0	182.3	184.9	
Operating and Support (O&S) Cost					
Total Operating and Support (O&S) Cost	581.0	581.0	683.9	683.9	
Total Life-Cycle Cost					
Total Life-Cycle Cost	753.6	761.0	866.2	868.8	

#### **Cost Notes**

- This report and the Budget Year IT-1 Exhibit cover different time periods thus the costs will not match.
   Then Year dollars are included for information purposes only; cost variances will be reported against Base Year dollars.
   The O&S costs reflect all work performed during that phase, regardless of the type or source of funding.